Document of The World Bank

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Report No: 53003-MX

RESTRUCTURING PAPER

ON A

PROPOSED PROJECT RESTRUCTURING
OF
TERTIARY EDUCATION STUDENT ASSISTANCE PROJECT
(LOANS 7346-ME AND 7349-ME)
APPROVED ON DECEMBER 13, 2005

IN THE INITIAL AMOUNT OF US\$ 180 MILLION

AND

A RESTRUCTURED AMOUNT OF US\$ 171 MILLION

TO THE UNITED MEXICAN STATES

February 10, 2010

This document has a restricted distribution and may be used by recipients only in the performance of their official duties. Its contents may not otherwise be disclosed without World Bank authorization.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ANUIES National Association of Universities and Higher Education Institutions

(Asociación Nacional de Universidades e Instituciones de Educación

Superior)

APL Adaptable Program Loan

BANOBRAS National Bank of Public Services and Works (Banco Nacional de Obras y

Servicios Públicos, S.N. C.)

GOM Government of Mexico

IBRD International Bank for Reconstruction and Development

IDB Inter-American Development Bank IPDP Indigenous Peoples Development Plan

PDO Project Development Objective

PRONABES National Scholarship Program for Higher Education (Programa Nacional

de Becas para la Educación Superior)

SEP Secretariat of Public Education (Secretaría de Educación Pública)

Vice President: Pamela Cox

Country Director: Gloria M. Grandolini

Sector Manager: Chingboon Lee

Sector Leader: Christoph Kurowski
Task Team Leader: Erik A. Bloom

MEXICO

CONTENTS

	Page
ANNEX 1: Proposed Changes	9
ANNEX 2: Appraisal Summary Update	12
ANNEX 3: Updated Critical Risk Framework	13
ANNEX 4: Results Framework and Monitoring	14

Restructuring	Status: Submitted to SECPO
Restructuring Type: Board Approval	
Last modified on date: 02/11/2010	

1. Basic Information	
Project ID & Name	P085593: MX (APL I) Tertiary Educ Student Ass
Country	Mexico
Task Team Leader	Erik A. Bloom
Sector Manager/Director	Chingboon Lee
Country Director	Gloria M. Grandolini
Original Board Approval Date	12/13/2005
Original Closing Date:	03/01/2010
Current Closing Date	03/01/2010
Proposed Closing Date [if applicable]	12/31/2011
EA Category	C-Not Required
Revised EA Category	C-Not Required
EA Completion Date	
Revised EA Completion Date	

2. Revised Financing Plan	(US\$)	
Source	Original	Revised
BORR	119.57	119.57
IBRD	180.00	180.00
Total	299.57	299.57

3. Borrower		
Organization	Department	Location

4. Implementing Agency		
Organization Department Location		

5. Disbursement Estimates (US\$m)	
Actual amount disbursed as of 02/	17/2010	82.41
Fiscal Year	Annual	Cumulative
2009	0.00	82.41
2010	0.00	82.41
	Total	82.41

6. Policy Exceptions and Safeguard Policies	
Does the restructured project require any exceptions to Bank policies?	
Does the scale-up of restructured projects trigger any new safeguard policies? If yes,	N
please select from the checkli st below.	* '

7a. Project Development Objectives/Outcomes Original/Current Project Development Objectives/Outcomes

The program supports the Government strategy to foster the sustainable and equitable expansion oftertiaryeducationthroughstudentass istance. This would be pursued through the development of a coherent studentassistancesystem, compensatory interventions for disadvant aged students, and the development of the national tertiary education policy. In the first phase of the APL, this object dive would be achieved through support for: (a) PRONABES nationals cholarship program and development of the regulatory framework for triarye ducation student assistance; (b) development of the national tertiaryeducation policy framework; (c) academic and social assistance to disad vantaged groups through (i) design and test of an early interventions program to expand the pool of talented low-income uppersec on daryst udents able to enroll intertiaryeducation, and (ii) assistance to tertiary education in digenous students; and (d) the piloting of a state-level student loans program in one Mexican state.

7b. Revised Project Development Objectives/Outcomes [if applicable]

The objective of the Project is to support the Borrower#s strategy to foster the sustainable, equitable and efficient expansion of tertiary education through student assistance through the development of a coherent student assistance system consisting of grant programs and compensatory interventions for disadvantaged students and supporting the national tertiary education policy.

I. Introduction

- 1. This Restructuring Paper responds to the request of the Government of Mexico (GOM) and introduces the following changes in the Mexico Tertiary Education Student Assistance Project (P085593, Loans 7346-ME (approved by the World Bank Board on December 13, 2005 and signed on April 7, 2006) and 7349-ME (approved by the World Bank Board of Directors on the same date but not signed due to the reasons explained in paragraph 5 below) and accompanying amendments to the Project's legal documents:
 - change the formulation of the Project Development Objective to reflect the elimination of student loan program as a mechanism of student assistance;
 - eliminate the student loan program (Component 4) and private sector participation (Component 5) and recognize that Subcomponent 2.1 will not be financed with the World Bank loan because the Government's Secretariat of Labor has fully implemented all of the elements in this subcomponent with its own financial resources;
 - reallocate funds from these (sub)components to other components;
 - adjust result indicators to reflect these changes.
- 2. In addition, the closing date of Loan 7346-ME is extended by 22 months from March 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011.

II. Background and Reasons for Restructuring

- 3. The original objective of the Project was to support the Borrower's strategy to foster the sustainable and equitable and efficient expansion of tertiary education through student assistance through the development of a coherent student assistance system consisting of student loan and grant programs, compensatory interventions for disadvantaged students, and supporting the national tertiary education policy. It was designed as a three-phase APL.
- 4. As designed, Phase I of the APL had the following components:
 - Support for the PRONABES national scholarship program and the development of a national regulatory framework for tertiary education student assistance
 - 2) Institutional strengthening
 - 3) Support to disadvantaged students
 - 4) State-level student loans
- 5. It was anticipated that Phase II of the APL would partly overlap with Phase I and expand State-level student loan programs. Phase II was intended to provide additional support for the promotion of private investments in student loans should the need arise; it also included an additional Project component: Component 5- promotion of private investment in student loans. Phase III of the APL was expected to (a) continue to support the strengthening of PRONABES; (b) continue to support the scaling-up of state-level student loans programs; (c) further expand the interventions to assist disadvantaged students, and (d) continue to support the development of the tertiary education policy and institutional capacity-building at national and state level.

- 6. APL 1 was expected to be financed by two loans. The first loan of US\$171.0 million (7346-ME) was to the United Mexican States and covered Components 1, 2, and 3. It was signed on April 7, 2006 and declared effective on October 24, 2006. The second loan of US\$9 million (7349-ME) was expected to be made to the National Bank of Public Services and Works (BANOBRAS); BANOBRAS was expected to on-lend the Loan proceeds to the State of Quintana Roo to finance said State's student loan program (Component 4). However, the newly elected State authorities never reached final agreement on the legal and financial terms of the on-lending arrangement. No other State expressed interest in such a program either. The second loan was therefore never signed and the IBRD withdrew the loan offer on June 17, 2007, 18 months after Board approval.
- 7. In addition, after launching the Project, institutional and political barriers made it clear that the original scope of the Project was too broad. In particular, it was difficult for a relatively small office in the Secretariat of Public Education (SEP) to coordinate and lead programs in other areas of the Secretariat and other federal-level secretariats and at the State level. The National Scholarship Program (PRONABES) director, who was expected to manage the Project, has no authority outside of this program. The Project was declared effective at the beginning of the term of a new administration and implementation was very slow as the new team took over. At the same time, the economic crisis has increased the demand for PRONABES scholarships, thus leading GOM to shift its focus to this and other scholarship programs. PRONABES has seen a significant increase in its size since the program was first proposed and now has an annual budget of US\$107 million compared to US\$77 million in 2005.
- 8. The Project does not have the capacity to implement all of the components initially designed, particularly the student loan program, as described above. Therefore the Government requested that Component 4 be formally eliminated (which confirms the de facto elimination of the component when the offer of the second loan was withdrawn) and a subsequent reallocation of Loan proceeds. In addition, the Government does not intend to pursue Phases II and III of the APL which were initially intended to continue to provide support to the cancelled student loan component.
- 9. The Labor Market Observatory envisaged under Component 2.1 has been developed and implemented by the GOM's Secretariat of Labor with the support of the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB). The Bank did provide some technical support for the Observatory as part of project preparation and supervision but most of the work was carried by the GOM with the IDB. The Labor Market Observatory is functioning adequately and does not need any additional funding or technical support from the World Bank. Ther efore, the objectives of Component 2.1 have been successfully met. The Government has requested that the Bank acknowledge this development in the implementation completion report.
- 10. Finally, there have been delays in initiating Component 3.2 (expansion of the indigenous students' assistance program) because of coordination problems between SEP and the National Association of Universities and Higher Education Institutions (ANUIES). These delays have not materially impacted the implementation of the Indigenous People's Development Plan (IPDP) as ANUIES had alternative financing. To

compensate for the slow start, the Borrower has requested an extension of the closing date from March 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011.

III. Benefits and Risks

- 11. The original program was highly ambitious and could not be implemented due to a lack of demand at the state level. The proposed restructuring will refocus the operation and allow it to better carry out its broad objectives, including full supervision of the IPP, increased technical dialogue, and improving the operation of higher education scholarship programs.
- 12. The Project is expected to reach the same development targets as originally envisaged (number of graduates from tertiary education in Mexico and share of 18-24 year-old students in tertiary education from households classified at the two lowest quintiles of the income distribution). Reallocation of Bank loan proceeds will not affect the overall size of the various project components; it will only slightly change the share of Bank financing versus counterpart financing. Increased Bank funding of the scholarships program benefits additional students and therefore allows more people to pursue higher education.
- 13. The restructuring poses no additional risks. There are no overdue audits for Loan 7346-ME and the audits received so far have been satisfactory to the Bank.
- 14. <u>Safeguards</u>: The restructuring will not trigger new safeguard requirements. The environmental classification for the Project is C. Since all the activities are part of the Project's original design, the safeguards applied will remain unchanged.

ANNEX 1: Proposed Changes:

a. What are the proposed changes, as applicable, in the project's development objectives, outcomes, design, and/or scope?

Project Development Objective: The original Project Development Objective was: "to support the Borrower's strategy to foster the sustainable and equitable and efficient expansion of tertiary education through student assistance through the development of a coherent student assistance system consisting of student loan and grant programs, compensatory interventions for disadvantaged students and supporting the national tertiary education policy." The revised PDO is: "The objective of the Project is to support the Borrower's strategy to foster the sustainable, equitable and efficient expansion of tertiary education through student assistance through the development of a coherent student assistance system consisting of grant programs and compensatory interventions for disadvantaged students and supporting the national tertiary education policy."

Key outcome indicators of Phase 1 will remain unchanged (number of graduates from tertiary education in Mexico and share of 18-24 year-old students in tertiary education from households classified at the two lowest quintiles of the income distribution).

<u>Project Design and Scope:</u> The student loan program (Component 4) and private sector participation (Component 5)¹ will not be implemented. In addition, Component 2.1 will not be financed with the World Bank Loan because the Secretariat of Labor has fully implemented all of the elements in this subcomponent; it will not be formally eliminated and will be reviewed in the Implementation Completion Report.

b. What are the other complementary changes,	as applicable:
Institutional arrangements If, yes, please explain:	Yes _ X_No
Financing mechanism/Conduit If, yes, please explain:	Yes _ X_No
Outputs If, yes, please explain:	_X_YesNo
Implications for the indicators: All seven inter 4 will be eliminated. The restructuring will hattargets.	•
Project Costs and financing plan (include summ	ary tables)X_YesNo

¹ No activities were planned for component 5 in Phase I of the APL. Component was to become active in Phase III of the APL.

If, yes, please explain:

<u>Reallocation of funds:</u> Funds from components and subcomponents that will not be implemented will be moved to the scholarship program component. This will allow the GOM to increase the number of scholarship beneficiaries and therefore, the number of students attending higher education. In total \$3.8 million (2% of the loan amount) will be reallocated as follows:

- Transfer \$428,500 from Category 1 (goods) and Category 7 (unassigned) to Category 3 (scholarships), since no resources are needed in either category.
- Transfer \$1,606,882 from Category 4 (subsidy to ANUIES) to Category 3 (scholarships), due to savings in Component 3.2.
- Transfer \$1,812,875 from Category 2 (consultancies) to Category 3 (scholarships), due to savings in various components including subcomponents 2.1 and 3.1.

Category	Original amount	Amount after	Change +/(-)
		restructuring	
(1) Goods	210,500	0	(210,500)
(2) Consultants'			
services and training	3,254,000	1,441,125	(1,812,875)
(3) Scholarship			
grants provided by			
SEP pursuant to Part		·	
A.1 of the Project	161,960,000	165,808,257	3,848,257
(4) Grants provided			
by SEP pursuant to			
Part C.2 of the			
Project	4,930,000	3,323,118	(1,606,882)
(5) Front-end fee	427,500	427,500	0
(6) Premia for			
Interest rate caps			
and collars	0	0	0
(7) Unallocated	218,000	0	(218,000)
TOTAL	171,000,000	171,000,000	0

/P) II 11 . 1	218,000	0	/
(7) Unallocated	210,000	0	(2)
TOTAL	171,000,000	171,000,000	
Financial managemer If, yes, please explain		Yes	X_No
Disbursement arrange If, yes, please explain		Yes	X_No
Procurement If, yes, please explain	:	Yes	X_No

Closing date If, yes, please explain:	_ XYes No
require an extension of the closing date.	delays, especially in Components 3.1 and 3.2 Loan closing date is therefore postponed by 22 low time to supervise technical elements in
Implementation schedule If, yes, please explain:	_XYesNo
See above	

ANNEX 2: Appraisal Summary Update:

Do the proposed changes result in significant change of impact (from original Appraisal Summary of the PAD) in the following:

Economic and financial analysis If, yes, please explain:	Yes _ XNo
Economic and Financial Analysis. The proposed economic and financial aspects of the Project as focused on PRONABES beneficiaries because 90% to the financing of scholarships. This component v changes. According to the sensitivity analysis the efrom 5% to 10% depending on assumptions. The coaccount the positive externalities from investment in technology absorption, which would increase the ER	appraised. The cost-benefit analysis of the total project costs are devoted will not be altered by these proposed economic rate of return (ERR) ranges st-benefit estimations do not take into a tertiary education, such as improved
Technical If, yes, please explain:	Yes _XNo
Technical. The Project will reduce its focus or education. Although the Government continues to supromote access, it is moving away from the state-to-economic crisis has reduced interest in student loans approach has no impact on the PDO in Phase I but of II and III.	upport the use of credit as a means to state approach. At the same time, the among many sectors. This change in
Social If, yes, please explain:	Yes _ XNo
Environment If, yes, please explain:	Yes _ XNo
Exceptions to Bank Policy If, yes, please explain:	Yes _ XNo

ANNEX 3: Updated Critical Risk Framework:

New Risks Identified During Implementation/Restructuring That Might Jeopardize Achievement of the Restructured Project DOs or Outcome Targets:

N/a

ANNEX 4:

Results Framework and Monitoring

The following intermediate results indicators will be dropped:

Component 4: Support for State-level student loan program (State of Qunitana Roo)

- Coverage of student loans
- Tertiary education absorption rate (undergraduate)
- Terminal efficiency (undergraduate)
- Retention rate in tertiary education (undergraduate)
- Tertiary education coverage rate by income level
- Default rate
- Efficiency in operational costs

All other indicators will remain the same.